United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,885	02/01/2005	Torben A. Bonde	BONDE3	6718
1444 7590 12/05/2007 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
624 NINTH ST			MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/522,885	BONDE, TORBEN A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Virginia Manoharan	1797
The MAILING DATE of this communication		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	2 Octobor 2007	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	1 '	tere prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	,
closed in accordance with the practice and	er Ex parte Quayle, 1900 O.L	2. 11, 433 O.O. 210.
Disposition of Claims		·
4) Claim(s) <u>1-7,10,11,13,18,19,25,30,49,50,5</u>	8,59,68,69 <i>and 101-175</i> is/ar	e pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) <u>1-7, 10, 11, 13, 18-19, 25, 30, 49-</u>		120 and 127-138 is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 69,102,115-119,121-126 and 139		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		The state of the s
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	y(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	4	
		S 440(=) (d) == (5)
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	3 11a(s)-(g) or (t)
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	أدويت بديدة عامر والمارية	1
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper of the pap	•	r received in this Mational Stage
application from the International Bu		received
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	iist of the certified copies not	. received.
•		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail.Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application
aper nu(s)/maii,Date	. 0, 🗀 Other	

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 69, 102, 115-119, 121-126 and 139-175 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a). The following rejections with reference to claim 69 are hereby repeated because applicant fails to address them.
- 1) The term "preferably" in claim 69 renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed, thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable.
- 2). In claim 69, c), the claimed "the evaporator" lacks antecedent support.
- 3). It is unclear whether the "a predetermined reference pressure" in claim 1, sections d)-f) is the same or different from "a predetermined reference pressure", initially recited in claim 69, section c). If the same, then the article "a" should be replaced with —the—in claim 69 (and in subsequent recitations in claim 1 of the pressure).
- b). Regarding claim 115, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number:

10/522,885

Art Unit: 1797

- c). In claim 121, the terms "absorption system", "adsorber" and "adsorbing" provide for confusion as two distinct unit of operations are recited in the same breadth, i.e., adsorption and absorption. The same holds true for claim 122.
- d). There are insufficient antecedent basis for the following limitations in the claims.
 - 1). "the reception tank", claims 116 and 125;
 - 2). "the final condensate", claim 123;
 - 3). "the permeate", claims 139, 144";
 - 4). "the manure" and "the animal housing", claim 144;
 - 5). "the further condensing device", claims 156 158 and 162;
- 6). "said vapour not condensed by the first condensing device" (not initially recited in the base claim), claim 161;
 - 7). "said countercurrent of aqueous liquid", claim 162;
 - 8). "said second condensed aqueous liquid", claim 165;
 - 9). "the second condensing device", claim 165;
 - 10). "the bioreactor", claims 171-172; and
- 11). "re-directed to a bioreactor" (the direction not initially recited in the claims), claim 169.
 - e). Claim 170, as recited, is in improper Markush language.
- f). The inconsistent used of terminologies in the claims is improper. For examples: "the absorption unit" in claims 123- 124, as opposed to –an absorption system" in claim 121.

10/522,885 Art Unit: 1797

g). Claim 143, as recited, provides for ambiguity. Claim 58 depends on claims 49 and 25. Likewise, claim 135 depends on claims 49 and 25 such that the limitations of claims 49 and 25 appear to be redundantly recited in the same claim 143.

h). It is unclear what "predetermined reference pressure" in sections c)-f) of claim 69 is being referred to in "said reference pressure " recited in claim 151.

The claims are objected to because "vapour" numerously recited in the claims should be –vapor—as the latter is the term normally used in the U.S. See e.g., claim 146. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1-7, 10-11, 13, 18-19, 25, 30, 49-50, 58-59, 68, 101, 103-114, 120 and 127-138 are allowed.

Claims 69, 102, 115-119, 121-126 and 139-175 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Applicant's arguments filed October 2, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant stated that "Claim 69 has been amended", however, claim 69 has a "previously presented" status identifier, i.e., as submitted has not been amended.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1797

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to V. Manoharan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1450.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

PRIMARY EXAMINE.